

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

Proposal Number	Organization/Project	Reason(s) for Denial	Requested funding amount
22-017	NEEARC Peer Support Program	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) The proposal supplants current funding utilized for your program. 2.) The proposal does not define opioid abatement nexus as required for funding. 3.) The proposal does not expand the current program. 4.) Proposal not approved by the County Judges and other Mayors in other outlined targeted areas. (Only Izard and Craighead were submitted) 	\$1,806,883.76
23-020	SOZO Addiction Recovery Center Inc.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) The proposal budget has excessive construction costs. 2.) The proposal budget does not detail specific construction cost justifications as requested. 3.) The proposal plan costs exceed the reasonable expectation of the number of beds to be provided. 4.) The high requested budget amount to ARORP is too great of a risk as a 100 percent funder of the proposed project. 5.) We note that the operating budget you have submitted anticipates annual profit of over \$1.5M from the facility if constructed and opened, so there appears to be flexibility for SOZO to self-fund or obtain a loan (or both) to cover the bulk of the project cost. 	\$7,771,981.00
23-025	Behavioral Health Group	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) Your sustainability plan is insufficient. For example, it does not include a referral ability or education and assistance to patients to Arkansas Works, which could help rectify issues with those that are uninsured or under-insured. 2.) The State has funding available through DHS for uninsured and underinsured situations that can be applied for through 	\$329,000.00

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

		<p>their website. Your proposal seeks to supplant/duplicate available funds from other sources.</p> <p>3.)</p>	
23-028	Brand New Mercies	<p>1.) The proposal does provide expansion to services that are already being provided and funded by this provider and UAMS.</p> <p>2.) The proposal does not define opioid abatement nexus as required for funding.</p> <p>3.) Budget requested reflects prohibited costs for future projects undefined.</p> <p>4.) Proposal not approved by the County Judge and other Mayors in Benton County other than the Centerton Mayor as required.</p>	\$305,000.00
23-029	Ouachita Behavioral Health Group (100 families)	<p>1.) We met with Mr. Paul Chapman and went through the 100 family's concept at length as it relates to your proposal. We mutually agreed that the ARORP funding court ordered guidelines and restrictions would not be suitable for the 100 family's concept as the opioid nexus was thin and that the ARORP funding was not based on a reimbursement concept that would allow for selected patients.</p> <p>2.) Some of the proposal objectives duplicated efforts provided by federal and state dollar resources provided by ADH and DHS.</p>	\$261,668.00

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

23-030	University of Central Arkansas (Master's program)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) The proposal does not provide certainty that intended outcomes will enhance opioid abatement in specific Arkansas cities and counties. There are no guarantees that an awarded student may seek employment, using skills acquired, in an intended city, county, or even state. 2.) The proposal would probably better accommodate statewide opioid funding if outcomes could be defined from a state aspect. 3.) Funding proposal does not meet the intended requirements under Exhibit E of the Final Settlement Agreement. 	\$5,625,000.00
23-034	Prim and Proper, The Empowered Program	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) The proposal does not provide evidence-based data (demonstrated outcomes) and or details on expansion of services to be provided for opioid abatement. 2.) The proposal appears to supplant current funding. 3.) The proposal has excessive salary costs without a viable sustainability plan. 4.) The proposal was requested to break out costs, on 04-19-2023 without any response. 	\$98,000.00
23-035	Ouachita Behavioral Health Group-Rural MAT expansion	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) The proposal duplicates services currently provided by the state treatment catchment areas. 2.) The proposal duplicates in part, federal/state funded MAT expansion to rural areas opportunities provided by DHS 	\$253,851.00

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

		<p>through their contractor UAMS/Dr. Mancino</p> <p>3.) Budget contains items that are not defined or allowable.</p>	
23-043	Argenta Counselling and Wellness	<p>1.) The proposal appears to supplement your existing program and areas of operation already in existence and does not expand into other cities and county areas in need.</p> <p>2.) The proposal duplicates services already being provided through state and federal funds in those areas defined in the proposal.</p> <p>3.) The proposal contains marketing costs for prevention programs already provided through state resources.</p> <p>4.) Budget requested reflects prohibited and concerning costs, i.e. (intensive salary costs, scholarships, other undocumented expenditure requests).</p> <p>5.) Proposal not approved by the County Judge(s) and other Mayors as required.</p>	\$1,483,300.00
23-045	Tri-County Rural Health Network Inc.	<p>1.) The proposal duplicates services by DHS and ADH already being provided through state and federal funds in those areas defined in the proposal.</p> <p>2.) The proposal contains costs for prevention programs already provided through state resources.</p> <p>3.) Budget requested reflects prohibited and concerning costs, i.e. (stipend/honorariums, indirect costs, intensive costs for salaries and contract services).</p>	\$479,404.75
23-050	Level Up Recovery	<p>1.) The proposal does not provide evidence-based data for opioid recovery for the innovative treatment concepts.</p>	\$3,465,771.37

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2.) The proposal treatment concepts have a high risk of danger and civil liability. 3.) The proposal has excessive salary costs. 4.) The proposal does not have a good business/ sustainability plan. 5.) 	
23-054	Harbor House Women's Transitional	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) The proposal has excessive costs comparable to the first structure funded by the state. 2.) We understand that the state may be sending out ARPA funds for behavioral health soon, we would like to see where those dollars will reside before we duplicate or supplant. 3.) Harbor House has multiple state contracts currently for funding in different areas of treatment/ recovery and prevention. 	\$1,292,040.00
23-056	Literacy Council of Bowie and Miller Counties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal has funding requests that do not meet the requirements of the settlement allowances. 2. The proposal has some concepts that duplicate services to be provided. 3. The proposal has some concepts that should be merged with the proposal from HEARD Inc. to better serve that jurisdiction. 	\$1,800,000.00
23-058	Danville Opioid Project	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) The proposal does not conform to the allowed expenses outlined in the settlement agreement. 2.) The applicant should research and understand the concepts of our Overdose Response Team program and reapply in that category for that funding opportunity. 	\$118,589.32
23-059	Better Beginnings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) The proposal does not provide evidence-based data and or details on expansion of services to be provided. 2.) The proposal will supplant current funding. 	\$3,433,764.00

		<p>3.) The proposal has excessive salary costs without a sustainability plan.</p> <p>4.) The proposal has the 100 family's concept which is not in line with opioid abatement requirements of the settlement funding.</p>	
23-077	Better Beginnings	<p>5.) The proposal does not provide evidence-based data and or details on expansion of services to be provided for opioid abatement.</p> <p>6.) The proposal will supplant current funding.</p> <p>7.) The proposal has excessive salary costs without a sustainability plan.</p> <p>8.) The proposal budget has unexplained costs.</p>	\$3,433,764.00
23-078	PEARL'S Safe Housing Project	<p>1.) The proposal appears supplants current funding utilized for your program.</p> <p>2.) The proposal budget has prohibited funding requests (scholarship, meals, fundraising etc.)</p> <p>3.) The proposed entity (Pearl) will be without a Peer Recovery Supervisor which will put the current support from DHS for an RCO in jeopardy (sustainment instability).</p> <p>4.) The proposal budget is not clear and appears to have undocumented funding request pieces.</p>	\$592,000.00

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

23-085	We Hear You Inc.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) The proposal duplicates services by DHS and ADH already being provided through state and federal funds in those areas defined in the proposal. 2.) Budget requested reflects prohibited and concerning costs, i.e. (intensive salary costs, scholarships, other undocumented/undefined expenditure requests). 3.) The proposal does not have a good business sustainability plan. 4.) The proposal supplants current funding utilized for your program. 	\$4,681,245.52
23-095	Healing Together	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal lacks content in response to questions to justify funding. 2. Requests for improved content were not provided to ARORP. 	\$3312.00
23-096	In his Wings Multi purpose room	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) The proposal applies to the funding to construct a multipurpose building and does not lay out a plan to increase services, expand bed space, etc. or evidenced based strategy where the proposal would demonstrate the abatement of the opioid issue. 	\$430, 551.00

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2.) The proposal appears to supplant funding already available to the requestor. 3.) The proposal has no Mayor or County Judge signatures from Greene County as required. 	
23-100	Hempstead County Sheriff's Office	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal mirrors current DFA RSAT funding available through the state. 2. Sustainability plan lacks detail to show sustainability. 3. Budget provided reflects estimations on some of the items and salaries requested for positions. 4. The proposal lacks detailed requested evidence-based plan to abate opioid issues in your jurisdiction. 	\$521,634.00
23-101	Restoration House	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) The proposal applies to the funding to replace windows and does not lay out a plan to increase services, expand bed space, etc. or evidenced based strategy where the proposal would demonstrate the abatement of the opioid issue. 2.) The proposal appears to supplant funding already available to the requestor. 3.) The proposal has no demonstration of the current opioid issues for measurement. 	\$21,989.00
23-102	Brothers in Arms	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) The proposal lacks sustainability plan. 2.) Proposal valid needs organizational plan and measurements for evidenced based strategy to abate opioid issues. 3.) Proposal has restricted items not allowed. 	\$886,929.12

23-104	Casa of the 5 th Judicial District	<p>1.) The proposal does not outline the evidenced based results of your program of the opioid issues within your jurisdiction. (in a new proposal spell out, or demonstrate the opioid data sets for those you manage)</p> <p>2.) The proposal does not outline the plan of a evidence-based strategy of to abate opioid issues within your jurisdiction in the proposal. (good outline of this in your May 17th email that needs to be inserted into a new proposal application.)</p> <p>3.) The applicant should consider applying for our Naloxone Hero Program.</p> <p>4.) The applicant should spell out the current funding and the reduction VOCA funding. (see #2)</p> <p>5.) Outline sustainability plan details? Also outline MOU's with other agencies.</p>	\$32,357.46
23-105	ARM -180	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal's construction budget lacks specific detail in expenses 2. The proposal budget has prohibited funding requests (vehicles.) 3. The proposal budget is not clear and appears to have undocumented funding request pieces. 4. The proposals expansion plan does not outline how expansion would abate opioid issues.(use of proposed funding) 	\$506,772.59

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

		5. The lacks viable demonstrated sustainability plan.	
23-106	Copeland Foundation	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal for RCO, does not have a certified Peer supervisor needed to operate a RCO. 2. Sustainability plan lacks detail to show sustainability. 3. Budget provided reflects estimations on some of the items and salaries requested for positions that may have conflicts with state employment. 4. Proposal lacks data resources on specific city/ county as requested in proposal. 	\$1,147,309.00
23-108	Arkansas Recovery services	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) The proposal budget ha prohibitive funding requests (unaccounted grant/scholarship funding request) 2.) The proposal expansion plan does not outline how expansion would abate opioid issues. 3.) The proposal lacks evidence-based data for current operations abating opioids issues in the current operating area. 4.) Our research shows that data on the relatively new concept of brain-spotting and EMDR is inconclusive for a purposeful investment currently. 	\$635,506.08

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

23-110	Positive Recovery PLLC	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) The proposal budget contains prohibitive/ restrictive funding requests (cash incentives funding request) 2.) The proposal expansion plan does not demonstrate current evidence-based data showing the current program progress of abatement of opioids 3.) The proposal lacks demonstration of expansion of current operation as well as sustainability model for future. 	\$280,069.00
23-111	NWA Arkansas Council	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) The proposal provides no evidence-based data for existing program. 2.) The proposal appears to duplicate statewide efforts provided through SOR and PFS Federal funding along with block grant efforts in prevention. 3.) The proposal does not fully outline sustainability plan or current operating funding sources or expansion. 4.) The proposal does not have v mayor and County judge signatures as required. 5.) Proposed budget is broad and does not break out exact costs 	\$2,000,000.00
23-113	ARCare	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) The proposal is a statewide concept and should be vetted with DHS to compliment and not compete with those existing statewide providers to improve the ability for services for all Arkansans. 2.) The proposal should also be vetted through the AG Office which has settlement dollars for statewide projects. 3.) The proposal does not fully outline evidence-based strategies to <u>abate the opioid epidemic</u>. Either existing data or anticipated <u>opioid specific</u> strategy. 	\$23,6543,089.51

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

		4.) The proposed budget is broad, appears estimated and does not break out exact costs.	
23-114	Freshly Renewed Transitional Treatment	1) Sustainability plan lacks detail to show sustainability. 2) Budget provided reflects estimations and not exact costs as required. 3) Proposal lacks timeline as requested.	\$575,420.00
23-118	Argenta Counselling and Wellness	1.) The proposal appears to supplement your existing program and areas of operation already in existence and does not expand into other cities and county areas in need, nor does it provide evidence-based strategies to abate the opioid epidemic or does it demonstrate outcome related data that would assist in decision making. 2.) The proposal duplicates services already being provided through state and federal funds in those areas defined in the proposal. 3.) Sustainability plan lacks detail to show sustainability. Collaborating partners are not identified or is there evidence of existing partnerships. 4.) The proposal budget appears to have estimated funding amounts without documented evidence. 5.) Budget requested reflects concerning costs, i.e. (marketing and outreach)and(budget reflects the appearance to supplant of existing salaries) 6.) Proposal not approved by the County Judge(s) and other Mayors as required.	\$486,600.00
23-119	Prim and Proper, The Empowered Program	1.) The proposal appears to supplement your existing program and areas of operation already in existence and does not expand into other cities and county areas in need, nor does it provide evidence-based	\$74,390.00

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

		<p>strategies to abate the opioid epidemic, or does it demonstrate outcome related data that would assist in decision making as previously requested.</p> <p>2.) The proposal duplicates services already being provided through state and federal funds in those areas defined in the proposal.</p> <p>3.) Sustainability plan lacks detail (volunteerism already available) to show sustainability.</p> <p>4.) Budget requested reflects (budget reflects the appearance to supplant of existing salaries)</p> <p>5.) Proposal not approved by the County Judge(s) and other Mayors as required (Beebe, White County, Jacksonville).</p>	
23-124	New Beginnings Center	<p>1. The proposal for this state funded treatment center has numerous conflicts of interest in the budget..</p> <p>2. Budget provided reflects estimations on some of the items.</p> <p>3. Proposal lacks detail on purposeful opioid abatement items to abate opioid epidemic. (Basketball courts etc.)</p> <p>4. The proposal's timeline is vague, has no collaborating partnerships.</p> <p>5. The proposal appears to cover numerous counties without sign off from those city and county leaders as required.</p>	\$821,455.00
23-127	New Life Church SWS	<p>1.) The proposal does not outline the evidenced based results of your program of the opioid issues within your jurisdiction.</p> <p>2.) The proposal does not outline the plan of an evidence-based strategy of to abate opioid issues within your jurisdiction or a plan for expansion in the proposal.</p> <p>3.) The applicant should consider applying for our Naloxone Hero Program.</p> <p>4.) The budget has restricted items (vehicles).</p>	\$267,472.56

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

		<p>5.) Budget reflects renovation instead of funding for opioid abatement issues.</p> <p>6.) No outline for salary position purpose or sustainability plan.</p>	
ARORP 23-129	Doctors Order's Safe Rx Distribution Project	<p>1. Proposal would duplicate what the state is doing through DHS/ ADH programs through contracted regional prevention providers.</p> <p>2. No sustainability plans.</p> <p>3. Applicant is encouraged to apply to our Naloxone HERO program.</p>	\$930,760.00
ARORP 23-131	Plans to Prosper Advocacy Group	<p>1. Proposal duplicates in many ways what ARORP funded RCO (NEA Divine Intervention) is doing in the same area.</p> <p>2. Proposal would duplicate funding and activities provided by DCFS.</p> <p>3. Sustainability plan lacks detail to show sustainability.</p> <p>4. Budget provided reflects estimations and not exact costs as required, it also contains ARORP prohibitive costs (vehicle)</p>	\$119,537.81
ARORP 23-133	Healing Hands Addiction	<p>1. The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for the current program and opioid abatement strategy for the proposed program.</p> <p>2. The proposal's budget has no quotes to support estimations.</p> <p>3. The proposal has some budget items that do not have a nexus to justify settlement dollars used to abate opioid issues. (budget needs to be broken out)</p>	\$816,150.00
ARORP 23-135	Arkansas County Youth and Family Outreach	<p>1. The proposal appears be of renovation and sustainment of a current state funded programs.</p> <p>2. Sustainability plan lacks detail to show sustainability.</p> <p>3. Budget does not provide quote evidence to justify, and several items appear to be estimations instead of actual costs.</p> <p>4. Numerous items have no evidence-based data to abate opioid issues.</p>	\$75,000

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

23-138	Empower Project	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Proposal duplicates in many ways what state funded treatment catchment areas are doing and is in close proximity to one of them. 2. Proposal demonstrates self-sustaining and growth funding capability now. 3. Budget provided reflects estimations and not exact costs as required, it requests funding for house purchase but provides no details or property information. 	\$629,387.24
ARORP 23-142	New Start Recovery	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) The proposal does not provide evidence-based strategies to abate the opioid epidemic, or does it demonstrate outcome related data that would assist in decision making as previously requested 2.) Sustainability plan lacks detail 3.) Budget requested reflects (budget appears to be estimated rather than the exact number required) 4.) No detail or property information provided; no detailed timeline provided. 	\$148,860.00
ARORP 23-146	Harbor House	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for the current program and evidenced based opioid abatement strategy for proposed program. 2. The proposal would supplant a current state funded provider with numerous state contracts. 3. The proposal has some budget items that do not have a nexus to justify settlement dollars use to abate opioid issues (Furniture funding pot of money) . 4. Overall cost per bed is excessive. The proposed funding areas has multiple ARORP and state funding programs. 	\$628, 171.00
ARORP 23-148	Lake Village Police Department ORT	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) The proposal area already has ARORP funded ORT at McGhee PD which is working with the 10th DTF that covers Lake Village. 2.) Proposal lacks detail on opioid issues in their specific area as required. 	\$372,491.03

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

ARORP 23-149	Jumpstart Ministries	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3.) The proposal does not provide evidence-based strategies to abate the opioid epidemic, or does it demonstrate outcome related data that would assist in decision making as previously requested. 4.) Proposal lacks detail on opioid issues in their area as required. 5.) Sustainability plan lacks detail: appears to supplant operational funds already provided for. 6.) Budget requested reflects (budget appears to be estimated rather than the exact number required) 7.) Proposal has no collaborating partnerships. 8.) No detailed timeline provided. 	\$3,400,00.00
ARORP 23-151	Hollow Creek Treatment Center LLC	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Proposal lacks detailed requested evidence-based plan to abate opioid issues in your jurisdiction. 2. Sustainability plan lacks detail to show sustainability. 3. Budget provided reflects estimations and not required exact figures or quotes as required. 4. The proposal lacks expansion to needed areas, and appears to be more renovation and sustainability request to current operation. 	\$793,250.00
ARORP 23-153	Bloom where you are planted	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) The proposal does not provide evidence-based strategies to abate the opioid epidemic, or does it demonstrate outcome related data that would assist in decision making as previously requested. 2.) Budget requested reflects (budget appears to be estimated rather than the exact number required) (detailed construction estimate?). 3.) Proposal has no property information (to be purchased). Budget has some ARORP prohibited costs (Vehicles). 	\$1,144, 751.00

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

		<p>4.) Applicant should apply for our Naloxone HERO proposal.</p> <p>5.) Proposal lacks city Mayor signatures as required.</p>	
ARORP 23-154	20th Judicial DTF	<p>1.) The proposal area already has ARORP funded ORT at Faulkner Co. SO, which is working with the 20th DTF.</p> <p>2.) Proposal has ARORP restricted items within the budget (lease vehicles) (estimated costs for equipment)</p> <p>3.) Proposals lack required approval signatures from city Mayors within counties of jurisdiction.</p>	\$357,950.13
ARORP 23-155	Poinsett County SO	<p>1.) The proposal area already has ARORP funded ORT at 2ND DTF, which covers Poinsett County.</p> <p>2.) Proposal has ARORP restricted items within the budget (lease vehicles) (estimated costs for equipment)</p>	\$595,300.72
ARORP 23-156	Back to Life Treatment	<p>1. The proposal should adopt standards of operation through NARR.</p> <p>2. The proposal duplicates a RCO request which is already available in Jefferson County through state dollars (Exodus).</p> <p>3. The proposal has some budget items that do not have a nexus to justify settlement dollars use to abate opioid issues.</p> <p>4. The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for current program and opioid abatement strategy for proposed program.</p>	\$1,972,825.00
23-157	Plans to Prosper	<p>5. The proposal appears to somewhat duplicate what the RCO is doing in the same area.</p>	\$104,936.08

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Sustainability plan lacks detail to show sustainability. 7. Budget does not provide quote evidence to justify, and several items appear to be estimations instead of actual costs and is salary intensive. 4. No signatures from city and county officials in the other proposed counties 5. Numerous items in proposal have no evidence-based data/ or plan to abate opioid issues. 	
23-158	Sebastian County Sheriff's Department	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) Proposal does not fit the allowable expenses outlined in Exhibit E. 2.) Proposal does not provide evidence-based data to support the need for this training or that it will abate opioid issues. 	\$4,700.00
23-160	Building Better Lives Treatment Center - Juvenile Division	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal costs are concerning, no full budget outline for 10 plus million proposal . 2. Sustainability plan lacks detail to show sustainability. 3. Budget does not provide quote evidence to justify, and several items appear to be estimations instead of actual costs. 4. No evidence-based data that proposed program will abate opioid issues, no evidence outlined of opioid issues for Ashley County. 5. ARORP does recognize the need for juvenile treatment/recovery facilities, although this proposal may be better suited for joint collaborative funding partnerships. 	\$10,007,907.20
23-161	Finding Freedom	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal appears to have potential conflicts of interests with current employment of managers/ applicants. 2. Proposal does not provide real estate information, and/or quotes to justify expenditures in proposed budget. 	\$674,201.12

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

		3. Proposal would be better to show documented acceptance of NARR standards for recovery residences.	
23-162	Prosperity House	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal does not articulate opioid abatement plan. 2. The proposal lacks signatures from county /city officials from the three counties targeted as required. 3. Sustainability plan lacks detail to show sustainability. 4. Budget has numerous estimated costs instead of required actual costs. 5. Numerous budget items requested that would have questionable relevance to ability to abate opioid issues. 	\$250,000.00
23-163	We Hear You Inc.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal would be better split to a proposal at each proposed location instead of combined. 2. Proposal does not provide real estate information, and/or quotes to justify expenditures in proposed budget. 3. Proposal would be better to show documented acceptance of NARR standards for recovery residences. 4. Proposal lacks evidenced based data to abate opioids on existing programs. 	\$250,000.00
ARORP 23-164	Community Empowerment Council INC.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Proposal lacks detailed requested evidence-based plan to abate opioid issues in your jurisdiction. 2. Sustainability plan lacks detail to show sustainability. 3. Budget provided reflects estimations and not required exact figures and salaries and does not have specific quotes as required. 4. The proposal appears to be a sustainability request to current operation that is currently funded through state and federal dollars. 	\$400,000.00
ARORP 23-165	Genesis 2.0	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal in its current state appears to misrepresent a work partnership to assist in construction and operations. 	\$5,062,816.00

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Sustainability plan lacks detail to show sustainability. 3. The proposal and additional follow-up, show the availability and use of state and federal funding in their current operation and expansion plans. 4. Budget provided reflects estimations on some of the items requested instead of the exact quote requirement. 5. Numerous budget items requested that would have questionable relevance to ability to abate opioid issues. 6. The proposal lacks detailed requested evidence-based plan to abate opioid issues in your jurisdiction. 	
ARORP 23-166	Yahweh Ministries of NEA	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Proposal appears to have a conflict of interest on property to be leased from the registered landlord who is also Director of the ministry. 2. Proposal budget has items that are questionable to abating opioids issues and appears in part to supplant existing operating expense. 3. Proposal lacks evidenced based data to abate opioids to demonstrate existing program and proposed plan. 4. Proposal budget items appear to be estimates and not required actual costs. 	\$210,000.00
ARORP 23-167	Integrity Counseling	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal lacks detail to demonstrate opioid issues within your jurisdiction. 2. Budget provided reflects estimations on some of the items requested instead of the exact quote requirement. 3. Numerous budget items requested that would have questionable relevance to ability to abate opioid issues. 4. The proposal lacks detailed requested evidence-based plan to abate opioid issues in your jurisdiction. 	\$763,196.49

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

		5. The proposal lacks a demonstration of sustainability, other than listed insurance providers.	
ARORP 23-168	Ideal Option	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Proposal research shows that applicant is an out of state company that has already found a pathway to establish 12 similar clinics throughout Arkansas and 5 other states totaling 85 clinics. 2. Proposal research reveals that applicant receives some treatment funding dollars from Arkansas SOR dollars for treatment operations by UAMS. 3. Proposal lacks evidenced based data to abate opioids to demonstrate existing program and/ OR proposed plan. 4. Proposal budget items appear to be estimates and not required actual costs. Travel costs are extensively high due to necessary travel to establish proposed clinic from the applicant home state of Washington. 	\$313,785.00
ARORP 23-170	Ideal Option	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Proposal research shows that applicant is an out of state company that has already found a pathway to establish 12 similar clinics throughout Arkansas and 5 other states totaling 85 clinics. 6. Proposal research reveals that applicant receives some treatment funding dollars from Arkansas SOR dollars for treatment operations by UAMS. 7. Proposal lacks evidenced based data to abate opioids to demonstrate existing program and/ OR proposed plan. 8. Proposal budget items appear to be estimates and not required actual costs. Travel costs are extensively high due to necessary travel to establish proposed clinic from the applicant home state of Washington. 	\$313,785.00
ARORP 23-171	Arkansas Medical	1.) The proposal should have been submitted on the General Template as item	\$1,032,735.00

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

	Dental & Pharmaceutical Association	<p>requested parameters of the Naloxone HERO template (which provides naloxone only)</p> <p>2.) Proposal has ARORP restricted items within the budget (Social Media Marketing, Health and Safer Sex kits, HIV testing kits, HCV test kits, Incentive cards, TV AND Radio ads, Billboards)</p> <p>3.) Budget issues with estimated salary and requested items without evidence of exact figures or quotes.</p> <p>4.) Proposal lacks evidence based opioid abatement concepts.</p> <p>5.) Proposal lacks sustainability concept.</p> <p>6.) Proposal duplicates what other state funded programs are doing.</p> <p>7.) Proposal lacks completed County Judge/Mayor's signatures for targeted areas.</p>	
23-173	Conway Ministry Center	<p>1.) Proposal outlines homeless issues and not opioid issues that would warrant opioid abatement funding.</p> <p>2.) Proposal's budget requested items without evidence of exact figures or quotes, budget outline total differs from requested amount.</p> <p>3.) Proposal does not outline acceptance of NARR standards as required.</p> <p>4.) Proposal lacks evidence based opioid abatement concepts.</p>	\$2,213,176.26
ARORP 23-174	New Hope Refuge	<p>1.) Proposal has does not outline targeted area opioid issues.</p> <p>2.) Proposal's budget requested items without evidence of exact figures or quotes.</p> <p>3.) Proposal lacks evidence based opioid abatement concepts.</p> <p>4.) Proposal lacks acceptance of NARR Standards as required.</p>	\$3,130,766.76
ARORP 23-175	At the Feet of Jesus Ministries	<p>1.) Proposal has budget issues with estimated excessive salaries and requested items without evidence of exact figures or quotes.</p> <p>2.) Proposal lacks evidence based opioid</p>	\$727,083.35

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

		<p>abatement concepts.</p> <p>3.) Proposal lacks property information.</p> <p>4.) No evidence or indication of NARR standard adoption (as required).</p>	
ARORP 23-176	Benevolent Hearts Inc.	<p>1.) Proposal does not have a sustainability plan.</p> <p>2.) Proposal's budget requested items without evidence of exact figures or quotes.</p> <p>3.) Proposal lacks evidence based opioid abatement concepts.</p> <p>4.) Proposal targets, Pulaski, Saline, Prairie, and Lonoke, but lacks approval Mayor/County Judge signatures from all the areas targeted.</p> <p>5.) Proposal lacks demonstration of opioid issues in targeted counties.</p>	\$233,133.00
ARORP 23-182	ReVive Treatment	<p>1.) Proposal has budget issues with large number of estimated salaries that are already supplied from existing sources.</p> <p>2.) Proposal's budget requested items without evidence of exact figures or quotes.</p> <p>3.) Proposal lacks evidence based opioid abatement concepts.</p> <p>4.) Proposal has some prohibited costs (vehicles)</p> <p>5.) Proposal lacks property to be purchased information.</p>	\$1,885,309.43
ARORP 23-183	Care Center Ministries	<p>1. The proposal should adopt standards of operation through NARR.</p> <p>2. The applicant may be better suited to partner with other partners to reduce construction costs. (Cost per bed in overall price exceed ARORP'S abilities.)</p> <p>3. The proposal has some budget items that do not have a nexus to justify settlement dollars use to abate opioid issues.</p> <p>4. The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for current program and opioid abatement strategy for proposed program. (Need to define existing bed space and planned expansion also)</p>	\$1,976,211.00
ARORP 23-186	Perfectly Loved Treatment Center	<p>1. Proposal has items that are questionable to abating opioids issues, no salary or position justifications, salaries appear estimated.</p>	\$364,484.08

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Proposal lacks evidenced based data to abate opioids to demonstrate existing program and/or proposed plan. 3. No mention of adopting NARR standards. 	
ARORP 23-187	Breaking the Ties That Bind: Youth Program	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The same proposal has been submitted to the Attorney General for their consideration. 2. Sustainability plan lacks detail to show sustainability. 3. Proposal supplants some of the services being done through prevention resources provided by DHS. 4. Budget provided reflects estimations on some of the items requested instead of the exact quote requirement. 5. Numerous budget items requested that would have questionable relevance to ability to abate opioid issues. 6. Proposal lacks detailed requested evidence-based plan to abate opioid issues in your jurisdiction. 	\$375,394.00
ARORP 23-188	Raine Cor Inc.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal competes heavily with an area (Garland County), That has an abundance of treatment and recovery resources from state as well as ARORP funded programs. 2. The proposal does not articulate an existing evidenced based opioid abatement data or plan. 3. Sustainability plan lacks detail to show sustainability. 4. Budget does not provide quote evidence to justify and or real estate information.. 5. Numerous budget items requested that are noted to be estimated instead of required actual costs. 	\$1,435,581.09
ARORP 23-189	2nd Baptist	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal has excessive expense request for the services to be rendered. 2. Sustainability plan lacks detail to show operational sustainability. 3. Budget provided reflects estimations on some of the items requested instead of the exact quote 	\$5,448,150.00

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

		<p>requirement.</p> <p>4. Numerous budget items requested that would have questionable relevance to ability to abate opioid issues.</p> <p>5. Proposal lacks detailed requested evidence-based plan to abate opioid issues in your jurisdiction.</p>	
ARORP 23-191	A Time for Peace	<p>1. The proposal appears be of renovation and sustainment of a current program.</p> <p>2. The proposal would supplant funding made available for state funded treatment in uninsured and under insured in same jurisdiction applied for, thus duplicating efforts provided through the state program.</p> <p>3. Sustainability plan lacks detail to show sustainability.</p> <p>4. Budget does not provide quote evidence to justify.</p> <p>5. Numerous budget items requested that would have questionable relevance to ability to abate opioid issues.</p>	\$115,817.72
ARORP 23-192	The Illumination Foundation	<p>1. Proposal has questionable contingency budget items.</p> <p>2. Proposal has items that are questionable to abating opioids issues.</p> <p>3. Proposal lacks evidenced based data to abate opioids to demonstrate existing program and proposed plan.</p>	\$368,661.15
ARORP 23-193	John 3:17 Ministries	<p>1. The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for the current program and opioid abatement strategy for proposed program.</p> <p>2. The proposal lacks real estate information.</p> <p>3. The proposal's budget has numerous unquoted estimations instead of required exact costs, questionable personnel costs.</p> <p>4. The proposal has high cost per bed ratio.</p> <p>5. No acceptance of NARR standards as required.</p>	\$862,834.00
ARORP 23-200	Wolfe Street Foundation	<p>5. The proposal cost per bed and total cost are beyond ARORP's present capabilities.</p> <p>6. The proposal's budget has, no quotes to support estimations.</p>	\$3,400,000.00

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 7. The proposal has some budget items that do not have a nexus to justify settlement dollars use to abate opioid issues. 8. The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for current program and opioid abatement strategy for proposed program. 	
ARORP 23-201	Harbor Home	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for your current program. 2. The proposal cost per bed and total cost are beyond ARORP'S capabilities . 3. The proposal has some budget items that do not have a nexus to justify settlement dollars use to abate opioid issues (some budget items are estimated and not actual or quoted) . 4. No acceptance of NARR standards as required. 	\$3,314,518.76
ARORP 23-202	Empower Project II	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal does articulate the opioid issues in Crawford County. 2. The proposal would supplant funding made available for state-funded prevention services. 3. The proposal lacks current and intended evidence-based data or a plan to abate opioids. 4. Numerous budget items appear to be estimated and not actual costs as required. 	\$385,950.00
ARORP 23-203	Common ground Recovery LLC	<p>The proposal appears be of renovation and sustainment of a current program even though it mentions expansion.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. The proposal doesn't articulate the current evidence-based data/ plan or a plan to abate opioid issues. Nor, does it articulate the impact of opioid issues in Jefferson County. 3. Sustainability plan lacks detail to show sustainability. 4. Budget does not provide quote evidence to justify, is heavy in salary, and has what appears 	\$2,202,655.00

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

		<p>to be estimated figures instead of the actual required costs.</p> <p>5. Numerous budget items requested that would have questionable relevance to ability to abate opioid issues.</p>	
ARORP 23-204	M18 Recovery	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal should be separated from county/ city specific housing request and statewide leadership program. 2. The proposal cost is excessive for 36 beds, thus may be better separated or a better fit for AG funding on the state wide concept or in concert with the DHS peer recovery program. 3. The proposal does not articulate evidence-based data or programs to abate opioid issues. 4. Budget items liken to a RCO facility in the same area (Wolfe Street). 5. Numerous budget items requested that would have questionable relevance to ability to abate opioid issues. 	\$3,956,887.62
ARORP 23-205	Hope Cottages	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal appears be of renovation and sustainment of a current program instead of a expansion. 2. The proposal has no mention of adoption or existence of NARR standards. 3. Sustainability plan lacks detail to show sustainability. 4. Budget does not provide quote evidence to justify, and items appear to be estimated instead of required exact cost. . 5. Numerous budget items requested that would have questionable relevance to ability to abate opioid issues. 	\$337,571.65
ARORP 23-206	Hope's Bridge of FS, INC.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal cost per bed and total cost are beyond ARORP'S capabilities . 2. The proposal has some budget items that do not have a nexus to justify settlement dollars use to abate opioid issues (some budget items are estimated and not actual or quoted) . 	\$1,321,765.84

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

ARORP 23-208	Buckhanan Recovery	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal investigation revealed items of conflict. 2. The proposal fails to demonstrate current data or proposed evidenced based plan evidenced based data abating opioids. 3. The proposal's budget has numerous unquoted estimations instead of required exact costs, questionable personnel costs, and sustainability issues. 4. This proposal did not reveal any acceptance of NARR Standards. 	\$306,415.28
ARORP 23-209	Integrity Counseling	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Proposal lists items in budget that appear to supplant items in existing program. 2. Proposal does not provide quotes/documents to justify expenditures in proposed budget for an existing program. 3. Proposal has items that are questionable to abating opioids issues. 4. Proposal lacks evidenced based data to abate opioids to demonstrate existing program and proposed plan. 	\$493,710.88
ARORP 23-210	In His Wings Ministry	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal cost per bed and total cost are beyond ARORP's present capabilities. 2. The proposal has no mention of the existence or adoption of NARR standards. 3. The proposal has some budget items that do not have a nexus to justify settlement dollars use to abate opioid issues. 4. The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for the current program and opioid abatement strategy for the proposed program. 	\$1,787,284.24
ARORP 23-212	Ethan's House Ministries	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal reveals a conflict of interest on the sale of the property from you. 2. The proposal lacks evidence of opioid issues for Newport or Jackson County. 3. Proposal does not demonstrate show documented acceptance of NARR standards for recovery residences. 4. Proposal lacks evidenced based data to abate opioids on existing program. 	\$176,327.29

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

ARORP 23-213	Agape House	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for your current program. 2. The proposal cost per bed and total cost are beyond ARORP'S capabilities. 3. The proposal does not include budget or real estate information . 4. No acceptance of NARR standards as required. 	\$1,650,659.00
ARORP 23-214	The Way	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal does not articulate the existing evidence-based or intended opioid abatement plan as required. 2. The proposal has no mention of adoption or existence of NARR standards. 3. Sustainability plan lacks detail to show sustainability. 4. Budget does not provide quote evidence to justify, and items appear to be estimated instead of required exact cost. 5. Numerous budget items requested that would have questionable relevance to ability to abate opioid issues. 	\$1,642,454.00
ARORP 23-216	Living Water Expansion/Project New Start	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal has conflicted budgetary numbers and no quotes to substantiate budget requests. 2. The proposal has budget requests for items that have questionable purpose to abate opioid epidemic. 3. Proposal does not demonstrate show documented acceptance of NARR standards for recovery residences. 4. Proposal lacks evidenced based data to abate opioids on existing program. 	\$174,631.57
ARORP 23-217	Sulphur Springs Police Department	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal does not conform to the requirements of establishing an Overdose Response Team. 2. Proposal does not provide quotes to justify expenditures in proposed budget. 3. Proposal does not indicate a reasonable sustainability plan. 	\$4,000.00

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

ARORP 23-218	M18 Hero Proposal	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) Narrow your area scope to 2 or 3 counties,(utilize our progress map to find the neediest). 2.) Obtain approval signatures from mayors in county judges in your targeted county/cities. 3.) Think beyond just training church staff. Think having church staff also train their church populations. 4.) Once accomplished expand to include more churches by reapplying. 	\$5,388.00
ARORP 23-220	Stepping Stones Recovery of Arkansas	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal lacks clear evidenced based opioid abatement strategy for proposed program. 2. The proposal's budget is higher than ARORP'S funding abilities and per bed ratio is cost prohibited, no quotes to support estimations. 3. The proposal has some budget items that do not have a nexus to justify settlement dollars use to abate opioid issues. 4. No acceptance of NARR standards as required. 	\$1,407,994.00
ARORP 23-221	ARC Recovery Residence	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal cost per bed and total cost are beyond ARORP's present capabilities. 2. The proposal is in a heavily funded area (Pulaski County) for treatment, recovery etc.. Lacks Mayor signature too. 3. The proposal has some budget issues and items that do not have a nexus to justify settlement dollars use to abate opioid issues. 4. The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for the current program and opioid abatement strategy for the proposed program. 	\$1,415,602.39

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

ARORP 23-223	2 nd Baptist (SBC Recovery)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for your current program. 2. The proposal cost per bed and total cost are beyond ARORP'S capabilities . 3. The proposal has some budget items that do not have a nexus to justify settlement dollars use to abate opioid issues (some budget items are estimated and not actual or quoted) . 4. No acceptance of NARR standards as required. 5. The proposal lacks required County Judge and Mayor's signatures. 	\$2,339,386.36
ARORP 23-225	DOTS	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for your current program and an evidence based opioid abatement plan in your new proposal. 2. The proposal cost per bed and total cost are beyond ARORP'S capabilities. 3. The proposal has conflicting and unclear concepts on its purpose. 4. The proposal has some budget items that do not have a nexus to justify settlement dollars use to abate opioid issues (some budget items are estimated and not actual or quoted) . <p>No acceptance of NARR standards as required.</p>	\$2,990,482.97
ARORP 23-227	Saline County Detention Center Drug Scanner Project	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal struggles to meet the guidelines of our Exhibit E, as it is more enforcement strategy rather than strategy for opioid abatement. 2. The proposal for equipment would fair better as a secondary item to a jail peer support opioid abatement program as the primary request. 3. Proposal needs to include a jail peer recovery specialist, to be assigned to the jail working in concert with a jail team to identify those with an Opioid disorder and developing collaborative intervention programs with them. 	\$194,549.98

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

		4. Proposal lacks evidenced based data to abate opioids on existing program proposal.	
ARORP 24-228	Meadow Grass Transitional	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal lacks clear evidenced based opioid abatement strategy for proposed program. 2. The proposal's budget per bed ratio is cost prohibited, no quotes to support estimations. 3. The proposal has some budget issues and items that do not have a nexus to justify settlement dollars use to abate opioid issues. 4. No acceptance of NARR standards as required, no sustainability plan. 	\$1,837,287.29
ARORP 23-230	ATCAR Addiction Recovery Expansion	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal struggles to meet the guidelines of our Exhibit E, without a clear evidenced based opioid strategy. 2. The proposal budget has estimations and numerous unquoted items instead of required actual costs. 3. The proposal lacks acceptance of NARR standards as required. 4. Proposal covers a great restoration program, but lacks evidenced based data to abate opioids on existing program. 	\$2,472,142.00
ARORP 23-231	Opioid Overdose Prevention Plan – Black Mayors Association	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal appears to be a statewide initiative instead of a county /city intensive, which may be a better fit to submit to the AG's office. 2. The proposal replicates programs that are already in place by DHS and other city and county entities. 3. The proposal's budget has numerous unquoted estimations instead of required exact costs. 4. Proposal lacks evidenced based data to abate opioids on existing program proposal. 	\$1,451,060.00

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

ARORP 23-232	Tower of Hanan'el Restoration	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for current program and opioid abatement strategy for proposed program. 2. The proposal lacks real estate information. 3. The proposal's budget has numerous unquoted estimations instead of required exact costs. 4. The proposal lacks evidenced based data to abate opioids on existing program proposal. 5. The proposal does not have any indication of acceptance of NARR standards as required. 	\$1,338,663.85
ARORP 23-234	Called2Grow - Transformed	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal's budget exceeds settlement dollar's ability and reoccurring expense request. 2. Proposal does not provide quotes to justify expenditures in proposed budget. 3. Proposal has items that are questionable to abating opioids issues. 4. Proposal lacks evidenced based data to abate opioids on existing program and proposed plan plan. 	\$4,351,858.53
ARORP 24-238	NEA Divine Intervention INC	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for the current program and opioid abatement strategy for proposed program. 2. The proposal duplicates in-part previous ARORP (RCO)funded program that is now operating on state dollars. 3. No acceptance of NARR standards as required. 4. The proposal overall cost exceeds ARORP funding capabilities and cost per bed ratio is excessive. 5. The proposal's budget has some requested costs that are outside od justification of opioid settlement dollars. 	\$4,346,518.76
ARORP 24-239	Legal Aid of Arkansas	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. 1. The proposal has potential of a legal conflict of interest. 2. The proposal has some budget issues and items that do not have a nexus to 	\$861,481.00

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

		<p>justify settlement dollars use to abate opioid issues.</p> <p>3. The proposal has other funding streams that are similar and would conflict with measurable outcomes.</p>	
ARORP 24-241	The Way of the Son Ministries	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal has no mention of the existence or adoption of NARR standards. 2. The proposal has some budget items that do not have a nexus to justify settlement dollars used to abate opioid issues. 3. The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for the current program and opioid abatement strategy for the proposed program. 	\$500,807.00
ARORP 24-242	White County Sobriety Court Beebe Division	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Courts are established/ funded through the State of Arkansas. 2. The proposal fails to demonstrate current data or proposed evidenced based plan evidenced based data abating opioids. 3. The proposal's budget has numerous unquoted estimations instead of required exact costs, questionable personnel costs. 4. This proposal would fare better be being submitted to the Ag's office as they are considering drug court funding within their use of settlement dollar distribution. 	\$1,965,117.51
ARORP 24-243	M18 Recovery	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for the current program and evidenced based opioid abatement strategy for proposed program. 2. The proposal's budget is higher than ARORP'S funding abilities and per bed ratio is cost prohibited, no quotes to support estimations. 3. The proposal has some budget items that do not have a nexus to justify settlement dollars use to abate opioid issues. 4. No acceptance of NARR standards as required. 	\$3,131,510.45
ARORP 24-245	The Harbor-Cross County	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for your current program and a clear 	\$473,281.31

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

		<p>evidenced based opioid abatement in your proposed plan.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The proposal has some budget items that do not have a nexus to justify settlement dollars use to abate opioid issues (some budget items are estimated and not actual or quoted) . No acceptance of NARR standards as required. 	
ARORP 24-248	ATCAR (Adult and Teen Challenge) Opioid Addiction Recovery Program Expansion	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The proposal is for Garland County which is heavily invested in through ARORP and a State funded facility. The proposal's budget is higher than ARORP'S funding abilities and per bed ratio is cost prohibited, no quotes to support estimations. The proposal has some budget items that do not have a nexus to justify settlement dollars use to abate opioid issues. Heavy personnel costs No acceptance of NARR standards as required. No statistics provided for provider area. 	\$2,472,142.00
ARORP 23-249	Alpha Youth Services	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for your current program. The proposal cost per bed and total cost and reoccurring costs are beyond ARORP'S capabilities . The proposal has some budget items that do not have a nexus to justify settlement dollars use to abate opioid issues (some budget items are estimated and not actual or quoted) . No acceptance of NARR standards as required. 	\$1,823,260.96
ARORP 24-250	Bradley County New Beginnings C.A.S.A. Project	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for the current program and evidenced based opioid abatement strategy for proposed program. The proposal would supplant a current state funded provider. 	\$580,770.08

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. The proposal has some budget items that do not have a nexus to justify settlement dollars use to abate opioid issues (contingency fee, additional budget items for existing program) . 4. No acceptance of NARR standards as required. 	
ARORP 24-251	Med Kwik MORE Access	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for the current program and evidenced based opioid abatement strategy for proposed program. 2. The proposal indicates collaboration with DHS collegiate opioid programs and would be better served being considered being funded by them to insure non duplication of funding. 3. The proposal has some budget items that do not have a nexus to justify settlement dollars use to abate opioid issues. 4. ARORP has a strong and heavily invested in, naloxone dissemination program that is evidenced based (Naloxone HERO). 5. The proposal has no indication of costs of naloxone. 	\$138,544.25
ARORP 24-258	Jumpstart Ministries Revision	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal should adopt standards of operation through NARR, provide zoning information as required. 2. The proposal should spell out numbers in sustainability. 3. The proposal has some budget items that need to be broken out and justified as well as quotes and real estate information (required) 4. The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for current program and opioid abatement strategy for proposed program. 	\$488,165.33
ARORP 24-259	The Harbor	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal should spell out numbers in sustainability to justify sustainability. 2. The proposal has some budget items that needs justification to abate opioids. 3. The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for 	\$463,562.74

ARORP Arkansas Opioid Recovery Partnership

		current program and opioid abatement strategy for proposed program.	
ARORP 24-260	Quality Living Center	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal is targeted for Pulaski County, where ARORP is heavily invested. 2. The proposal's budget has no quotes to support estimations. (Incentives??) 3. The proposal has some budget items that do not have a nexus to justify settlement dollars used to abate opioid issues. 4. The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for the current program and evidenced -based opioid abatement strategy for proposed program. 	\$1,821,394.00
ARORP 24-261	Salvation Army Director of Social Services	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal has no required approval signatures from Mayors and County Judges in proposed area of operation as required. 2. The proposal's budget has no quotes to support estimations. 3. The proposal has some budget items that do not have a nexus to justify settlement dollars used to abate opioid issues. 4. The proposal lacks clear opioid abatement data for the current program and opioid abatement strategy for proposed program. 	\$298,859.00

Total Denied	\$191,334,177.03
--------------	-------------------------